LATERAL MENISCUS TEARS IN ACL INJURED KNEE
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ABSTRACT

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) tears can be associated with injuries to the lateral meniscus (LM) in about 20-30% cases. The lateral meniscus is more mobile than the medial and besides contributing to load transmission, it also stabilizes the knee in pivot-shift testing. The LM tears more often in the acute setting and its incidence does not rise in cases of chronic ACL instability. Lateral meniscus tears can be minor or major depending how severely the knee function gets impaired. Major tears are the complete radial tears, longitudinal bucket handle tears and posterior root tears. Male gender, high body mass index and contact injury mechanism are all risk factors for an LM tear. Anatomic factors which can contribute to LM tears include a high posterior tibial slope, varus malalignment and greater asymmetry between medial and lateral slopes. The lateral meniscus must be saved and repaired whenever possible to prevent residual knee instability and progressive lateral compartment arthritis, which can set in soon after a meniscectomy.

The development of techniques and technology have rendered most tears amenable to repair. Longitudinal tears can be repaired by the all-inside or inside-out technique and the needles and devices must be inserted through a high anteromedial or transpatellar portal to prevent injury to the popliteal neurovascular structures. A lateral safety incision must always be used for inside-out repairs. Radial tears can be repaired by two horizontal sutures, a cross stich, a cross-tag or a hash-tag suture configuration. Lateral meniscus posterior root repairs are repaired by transtibial technique, either by drilling an independent anatomic tunnel or the sutures pulled out via the ACL tibial tunnel. The lateral meniscus has high healing rates and repairs yield improvement in functional outcome, beside delaying radiographic arthritis.
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The lateral meniscus (LM) is a fibrocartilaginous structure which covers about 80% of the articular surface of the lateral compartment of the knee. It is circular and inserts anteriorly anterior to the intercondylar eminence adjacent to the broad attachment of the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) and posteriorly, posterior to lateral tibial spine. The lateral meniscus is loosely attached to the capsular ligament and the posterior horn attaches to the inner aspect of the medial femoral condyle through the anterior and posterior meniscofemoral ligaments. Meniscus has an important role in load transmission as it carries about 40-70% load across the knee joint, plays a role in shock absorption, proprioception, stability and lubrication of the joint. The LM is much more mobile in the anteroposterior plane and has greater radial displacement than the medial meniscus. The reported prevalence of meniscal injury associated with ACL injury can be as high as 55% to 72% and a lateral meniscus tear is more commonly seen in acute injuries. LM tears occur in far greater frequency in association with an ACL injury than as an isolated lesion.

Combined injury of the ACL and LM are significant because they have been shown to induce changes in the articular cartilage geometry of the lateral and medial compartments. A magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) analysis revealed lateral compartment changes like increase in the wedge angle of the posterior horn of the meniscus and posterior-inferior directed slopes of the articular cartilage surface. Medial compartment changes included reduction in the cartilage-to-bone height under the posterior horn of meniscus, decreased cartilage thickness in the posterior regions of the tibial plateau and increased thickness in the mid-region. An ACL and LM injured knee demonstrates greater increase in anterior translation by 2 mm and increased internal tibial rotation by 3°. Hence, the entire knee joint and not just a single compartment is affected. Another challenge of this injury combination is difficulty in diagnosing on pre-operative MRI scans due to its low sensitivity (0.69), especially when the LM tear is posterior or peripheral. Careful assessment of MRI scan while pre-operative planning is important so as not to miss this injury (Figure 1). Nonetheless, one needs to be prepared to manage this important injury combination in every case of ACL tear based on current evidence for optimal patient outcomes. This narrative review discusses the peculiarities of this injury combination, its causes, risk factors, variations and the current management strategies.

Figure 1: Magnetic Resonance Scan of Lateral Meniscus Tears. (a) Proton density fat-saturated coronal section MRI showing an extruded lateral meniscus (yellow arrow) which is an important indirect sign of a tear. A displaced bucket-handle tear may present as a (b) flipped meniscus lying medially in the intercondylar notch (yellow circle) on T1-weighted coronal image or (c) flipped anteriorly (red circle) as seen on proton density fat-saturated sagittal image. Note the bone marrow edema in the lateral femoral condyle and posterolateral tibia.

Types of Lateral Meniscus Tears:
The lateral meniscus can tear in any pattern along with an ACL injury. However, some tear patterns are characteristic of this injury combination. Feuch et al have described a classification based on the severity of such tears, based on their morphology.
**Major Tears**- Radial tears; Unstable longitudinal tears and Lateral meniscus posterior root tears (LMPRT).

**Minor Tears**- Incomplete longitudinal tears; Complete but stable longitudinal tears within 1 cm in front of the popliteus tendon and radial or oblique tears of < 75% of the width of the meniscus.

The major tears are the ones with grave biomechanical consequences and can cause more severe disruption of knee function if not repaired. The commonest of these have been reported to be the longitudinal vertical tears. However, Krych et al found that the oblique radial tear (Figure 2) was the commonest tear type in their series of 600 patients, comprising 18% of all meniscus tears. Interestingly, horizontal and complex LMTs are associated with a significantly higher incidence of > grade 2 chondral lesions in the lateral femorotibial compartment, compared to longitudinal or radial tears. Krych et al have also proposed a classification of the lateral meniscus oblique radial tears described in Table 1.

![Figure 2: Lateral Meniscus Oblique Radial Tear (Right Knee).](image)

Table 1: Classification of Lateral Meniscus Tears

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification of Lateral Meniscus Oblique Radial Tears</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>Partial oblique tear within 10 mm of root attachment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>Complete oblique tear within 10 mm of root attachment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 3</td>
<td>Partial oblique tear more than 10 mm from root attachment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 4</td>
<td>Complete oblique tear more than 10 mm from root attachment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification of Lateral Meniscus Posterior Root Tears</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>Avulsion of the posterior root with intact MFL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>Complete radial tear between the root attachment and MFL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 3</td>
<td>Avulsion of the posterior root and injury to the meniscal attachment of MFL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Incidence and Risk Factors:**

The incidence of LM tear (LMT) in association with ACL injury has been reported to be about 20% - 31%, although an incidence of 72% has been reported by Nikolic with recent ACL tears. There are several demographic, anatomic and activity related risk factors attributed to a higher
incidence of LMTs. In adult patients, their incidence is much higher in acute ACL tears and delaying ACLR beyond 12 months has not been found to significantly increase the Odd’s ratio (OR) of LMTs. In the study of Kluczynski et al, male gender and injury interval <6 weeks was found to be predictive of a lateral meniscus tear. It is postulated that since the lateral meniscus is mobile and does not contribute to knee stability as much as the medial meniscus, it is not under excess stress in an ACL deficient knee. Hence, LMTs usually result from the index trauma and are unlikely to occur later with persistent instability. In Feucht’s series, a strong association of ‘major’ lateral meniscus tears was found with male gender ratio (OR 7.38), age <30 years (OR 5.85) and contact injury mechanism (OR 18.49). The independent risk factors for occurrence of LMPRTs are participation in contact sports, a concomitant medial meniscus tear and higher body mass index (BMI). Anderson et al studied the incidence and risk factors for meniscus tears in children and adolescents. They found that a younger age, return to sports before surgery and delay of ACLR beyond 6 weeks were significantly co-related with higher occurrence of LMT. Vavken et al have also found a higher BMI and delay in ACLR leading to higher LMTs in pediatric and adolescent patients.

A lower incidence of lateral meniscus tears in amateur high school female athletes was reported by Piasecki. Amongst anatomic factors, Mansori et al have reported a greater risk of lateral meniscus tears with high lateral tibial slopes. In a CT scan analysis, Gaillard et al found that a greater anteroposterior length of the lateral femoral condyle with respect to the lateral tibial condyle and lesser convexity of the lateral tibial plateau were significantly associated with LMTs. As such, the lateral compartment of males were at a greater risk. Several anatomic factors have been reported to have an association with LMPRTs. These include greater tibial varus, steep posterior tibial slope and greater asymmetry between lateral and medial slopes. Wyatt et al used a community registry to follow patients who had undergone a primary and revision ACLR. They found that the lateral meniscus tears much more frequently in primary ACL tears (32.2%) than in re- tears (18.4%). A comparison of MOON and MARS study groups also revealed a significantly lower OR of encountering a new lateral meniscus tear in the revision setting.

It is evident from the available literature that most of the risk factors for a LMT in an ACL injured knee are not modifiable. A notable exception are children and adolescents, in whom an early ACLR and not allowing sports before surgery might be protective for sustaining LMTs.

The Case for Saving the Lateral Meniscus:
The lateral meniscus is important for the knee because of its critical role in knee stability and preserving lateral compartment biomechanics. The lateral meniscus restrains anterior tibial translation in an ACL deficient knee during combined valgus and rotatory loading, as in a pivot shift manoeuvre. Knees with ACL tears which have torn lateral meniscus demonstrate greater dynamic postural instability than those with medial meniscus tears. A 3D finite-element analysis was performed by Mononen et al to assess the effects of partial lateral meniscectomy on tibial articular surface. They found that the tibial cartilage contact pressures increased by 50%, stresses by 44%, strains by 21% and pore pressures by 43%. The increase in stress and strains was primarily during the initial half of the gait cycle, i.e. during heel strike and mid-stance. Peña et al performed a finite element analysis of the human tibiofemoral joint to compare the effects of similar amounts of medial and lateral meniscectomy. Under axial compressive femoral loading, the maximum shear stress was 288% and 323% higher after a total and partial lateral meniscectomy respectively, compared to similar meniscectomy in the medial compartment.
These forces are detrimental to the cartilage health and will lead to progressive osteoarthritis in the lateral compartment. Total resection of any portion of the LM has been shown to increase T2 elevation of the articular cartilage of the lateral femoral cartilage on MRI scans after just 6 months.

There is plenty of evidence that lateral meniscus deficiency has more profound effects for the lateral compartment than medial meniscus deficiency has for the medial side, in terms of both clinical and radiological outcomes. The worse prognosis for the lateral meniscectomy has been seen for a stable knee as well. The incidence of radiographic degenerative changes after an isolated partial lateral meniscectomy can be as high as 84 - 92.9% in the long term, where the amount of resection is a determining factor. The long-term outcomes of partial lateral meniscectomy are worse in patients who are older than 40 years, have higher BMI, valgus malalignment and cartilage lesions at the time of index surgery. An encouraging result about lateral meniscus repairs is that they have significantly lower failure rates than medial repairs. The re-operation rates are also much lower when a concomitant ACL reconstruction is performed. Based on these consistent findings from literature, there is a compelling case to repair and save as much of the lateral meniscus as is possible in every case of ACL instability.

**Management of Specific Tear Patterns:**

The tears of the lateral meniscus can be managed by one of the three methods: meniscectomy, abstention and repair. The importance of preserving LM tissue has been discussed above. Abstention is the practice of leaving stable tears in-situ with or without biological augmentation like rasping or trephination to potentiate healing. The advances in optics, instruments, implants and understanding of knee biomechanics has prompted more tears to be repaired than resected. The challenges specific to performing a lateral meniscus repair are the risk of injury to neurovascular structures, entrapment of the popliteus tendon and poorer capsular tissue to hold the sutures compared to the medial side.

**General Principles for Lateral Meniscus Surgery**

- **Position:** The patient can be placed in the supine flat-table or a hanging leg position. The knee has to be taken to a ‘figure-of-4’ position with the knee flexed 70-90° for visualization of the meniscus.
- **Exposure:** The lateral compartment is not ‘tight’ like the medial side. Hence, exposure is not a problem usually and is improved by lifting the heel up to distract the lateral side.
- **Portals:** The standard anterolateral portal is usually adequate as a viewing portal after removal of the fat pad as necessary. The anteromedial portal serves as the working portal, both for performing meniscectomy and repairs. A higher location of the anteromedial portal makes instrumentation easier by providing access above the lateral tibial eminence. However, an accessory anteromedial or central patellar portal maybe required for viewing the more anterior areas of the meniscus, especially for a repair.
- **Safety Incision:** A safety incision on the lateral side is necessary when performing an inside-out repair. The plane for placing a retractor is between the biceps femoris tendon and iliotibial band superficially, and the capsule and lateral head of gastrocnemius tendon deeper (Figure 3). It helps protect the popliteus neurovascular bundle posteriorly and the common peroneal nerve laterally.

Meniscus Repair techniques: The techniques of all-inside, inside-out, outside-in or transtibial repair can all be used for repairing the lateral meniscus, depending on tear location. Generally, tears posterior to the popliteus tendon are repaired.
using all-inside devices, while more anterior tears can be repaired using the inside-out technique and zone-specific cannulae. These two techniques have been found to be comparable, both in terms of clinical failure rates and subjective functional outcomes.\(^{41}\) It is advised to place sutures adjacent to the popliteus tendon, although placing sutures or all-inside devices through the tendon has not been found to result in adverse outcomes\(^ {40,42}\). For inside-out repairs, the needles must be inserted from the anteromedial portal only and never from the anterolateral portal because the needle trajectory in such a case will endanger the popliteus neurovascular structures. The transtibial repair is reserved for root tears or posterior radial tears close to the root.

**Figure 3: Lateral Safety Incision for Left Knee.** (a) Surface landmarks for the skin incision – Fibula head (red dot), Lateral epicondyle (red dot), Gerdy’s tubercle (blue dot) and incision marking (black line). (b) The superficial plane is between the iliotibial band (ITB) and biceps femoris tendon (BF) with the common peroneal nerve lying posterolateral to it (yellow arrow). (c) A Henning’s retractor placed between the posterolateral capsule and lateral head of gastrocnemius. (d) Inside-out repair sutures exiting in the safe plane can be tied over the capsule (blue arrow) in 90° knee flexion.

**Lateral Meniscus Longitudinal Tears**

The vertical/longitudinal tear is most common type of lateral meniscus tear\(^ {9}\). This tear can involve any of the three zones and can occur at any location of the meniscus. Further, these tears maybe either stable or unstable on probing during arthroscopy. Lee et al had proposed that stable tears in the posterior horn can be left in-situ and do not propagate to larger tears after about 3 years of follow-up.\(^ {43}\) They found an MRI healing rate of 86% and complete healing on second-look arthroscopy in 75% patients, while 18% had healed partially.\(^ {43}\) Although these are encouraging results, some of these ‘conservatively’ managed tears do have the potential to propagate. A more recent cadaveric experiment using a robotic testing system found that such stable tears do progress with cyclical loading of the knee when left alone after only 100 cycles of knee loading.\(^ {44}\) This causes the external tibial rotation to significantly increase by up to 45.5% and the contact forces at the tibiofemoral joint increased by up to 91.9%.\(^ {44}\) These detrimental effects of a tear progression on knee kinematics and contact forces need to be borne in mind. It is perhaps prudent to be more inclined to repair and save the meniscus, till more data is available as to which subset of patients are unlikely to have a tear propagation.

As discussed above, either the all-inside or inside-out technique can be used to repair these tears. A combination of both techniques is required for large bucket-handle repairs (**Figure 4**). An overarching concern when performing lateral meniscus repairs by either technique is the safety of the neurovascular structures in this area. Tears medial to the popliteus tendon are preferably repaired using an all-inside device since the popliteus neurovascular structures are not safe by using any portal or cannula type.\(^ {45}\) The all-inside device for posterior repairs must not be inserted from the anterolateral portal. The popliteal artery was found to be only 4.7 ± 2.3 mm and popliteal
vein 6.7 ± 2.9 mm from the device needle in a cadaveric experiment by Mao. Massey et al recommended insertion of these repair devices from an anteromedial or a transpatellar portal because of the lowest risk of neurovascular penetration. Further, keeping the depth setting at 14 mm leads to lowest risk of gastrocnemius penetration (10%) and capsular under-penetration (5%). The popliteal vessels and peroneal nerve are relatively safer when the all-inside repair is performed at 90° knee flexion than at lower flexion angles. The lateral geniculate artery is another smaller, albeit important structure that must be protected because it is the major vascular supply to the LM. Chen found that injury to this structure occurred in 72% cases for mid-third repair and in 63% cases when the needle was passes across the mid-anterior 1/3 junction of lateral meniscus in embalmed cadavers. Cuéllar et al have reported that both all-inside and inside-out repair needles are precariously close to the geniculate artery for tears anterior to the popliteus hiatus. However, inside-out suture knot tying on the capsule carries an additional high risk of obliteration on the artery.

A meta-regression analysis found that when performed along with an ACLR, the all-inside technique has significantly higher clinical failure rates (16%) compared to the inside-out technique (10%). The patient-reported outcomes of large vertical bucket-handle meniscus repairs have been found to be satisfactory and comparable to small vertical tear repairs. Uzun et al reported comparable functional outcomes between undisplaced vertical longitudinal and displaced bucket-handle LM tears using the all-inside or hybrid suture repair technique. Smoking was identified as a risk factor for failure, irrespective of tear type. Ahn et al reported the outcomes of 13 bucket-handle LM repairs after a median follow-up of 4 years. There was significant improvement is Tegner activity levels and Lysholm scores, with all patients achieving pre-injury activity level and no re-operation rates for the meniscus. Based on currently available evidence, it is recommend to repair all LM vertical tears using all-inside devices for tears medial and to the popliteus tendon and all-inside or inside-out technique for repairs anterior to the hiatus.

**Figure 4: Bucket-handle Lateral Meniscus Repair (Left Knee).** (a) The mid-posterior third of the meniscus is torn in the red-red zone and flipped in the intercondylar notch. (b) Repair is begun at the center of the tear by inside-out technique on the superior surface. The zone-specific cannula is inserted from the anteromedial portal. (c) Inferior surface inside-out suture being inserted via the transpatellar portal while viewing from the anteromedial portal. (d) A flap in the white-white zone being excised. (e) Posterior horn being repaired using an all-inside device inserted from the transpatellar portal. (f) The completed lateral meniscus repair has preserved almost the entire tissue. LFC- Lateral femoral condyle, LM- Lateral meniscus, LTC- Lateral tibial condyle, ACL- Anterior cruciate ligament.

**Lateral Meniscus Radial Tears:**
The radial LM tear is a devastating injury, especially if it involves the peripheral circumferential fibres which are responsible for maintaining hoop stress in the meniscus. In that scenario, it is akin to a total meniscectomy and leads to complete loss of meniscal function. A
variant of the classical radial tear, a lateral meniscus oblique radial tear (LMORT) has been described by Krych et al. They reported this to be the commonest LM tear in their series. Considering its challenging nature, a partial meniscectomy was commonly performed for this tear. Bedi et al performed a cadaveric study to assess the dynamic contact mechanics of radial tears in the region of popliteus hiatus. They found that the tears extending up to 60% of the meniscus width did not lead to change in pressure magnitude or location. However, tears involving >90% increased peak pressure in the posterior-peripheral position of the tibia, along with a reduction in contact area. Repair of this tear significantly reduced the peak pressure, but there was no change in contact area. A partial meniscectomy resulted in changes similar to a 90% tear. Krych et al have proposed partial meniscectomy only for incomplete tears that do not involve the peripheral rim, when present within 10 mm of the posterior root attachment. Radial LM repair has also been shown to reduce meniscus extrusion, without reducing the dynamic meniscus extrusion of the LM, thus preserving its normal mechanics.

Radial tears are challenging to repair. Any technique of repair, i.e. all-inside, inside-out or outside-in can be used depending on the location of the tear. Although the avascular zone of the LM maybe excised, it is prudent to preserve as much meniscus tissue as possible. The inside-out technique is generally considered the ‘gold standard’ of meniscus repairs. However the superiority of inside-out over all-inside repairs for mid-body radial tears could not be established in a meta-analysis. The load to failure, displacement or site of failure were not different between the two groups. Several techniques of radial tear repair have been described (Figure 5). The simplest of these is the horizontal mattress configuration with two or three sutures (Figure 6). The problem of this technique is that sutures are placed parallel to the collagen orientation in the meniscus. Matsubara described the cross-stitch technique where sutures are placed in an oblique plane with respect to the meniscus collagen. This construct was found to have a significantly higher ultimate failure load, greater stiffness and lower displacement on application of cyclical loads, compared to two horizontal sutures. Stender et al described two novel suture configurations—hashtag and crostag. In these techniques the horizontal and cross stiches were reinforced with a vertical suture on each side of the repair, much like a rebar. Although these configurations were not superior to cross-stitch technique for load to failure or stiffness, they demonstrated significantly less displacement on cyclic loading. The hashtag was superior to the crostag in resisting displacement. Massey et al reported the superiority of the hashtag or rebar repair over the horizontal or cross-suture in terms of higher load to failure and lower rate of suture cut-out. In more complex tears, especially those close to the root attachment, a hybrid repair technique maybe suitable (Figure 7).

Figure 5: Radial Tears Suture Configurations. The radial tear can be repaired using suture configurations such as the horizontal stitch (1), the cross stitch (2), the cross-tag (3) or the hash-tag (4).
Figure 6: Horizontal Suture Repair of Radial Tear (Right Knee). (a) Sutures placed in a horizontal fashion in the superior and inferior surfaces of the meniscus with an all-inside device. (b) Repair is completed by placing a third suture on superior surface. This is the same tear as in Figure 2. LFC- Lateral femoral condyle, LM- Lateral meniscus, LTC- Lateral tibial condyle.

Figure 7: Hybrid Repair of Radial Tear (Left Knee). (a) Proton density fat-saturated coronal section MRI showing an extruded lateral meniscus and flipped segment of the radial tear (yellow circle) (b) Arthroscopic view of the tear lying within 10 mm of the posterior root attachment with capsular detachment of the lateral portion. (c) The lateral torn segment is first reattached by a transtibial technique (black arrow) as the medial tissue is insufficient to perform a side-side repair (d). The repair is completed by suturing the posterior horn to the capsule with all-inside devices. LFC- Lateral femoral condyle, LM- Lateral meniscus, LTC- Lateral tibial condyle.

The outcomes of radial LM repairs have also been published. In Tsuji’s series of 41 patients, radial tear repairs with an ACLR were compared to isolated ACLR knees after means 3.4 years of surgery. There was no radiographic deterioration in lateral compartment or coronal plane meniscus extrusion as seen on MRI in the radial tear group. However, sagittal meniscus extrusion was significantly greater. Healing was assessed on second-look arthroscopy where 60% meniscus had completely healed, 30% partially healed and 10% unhealed. Chondral changes in the lateral tibial plateau had significantly worsened over this period. Wu et al performed a propensity-matched analysis of 18 radial and bucket handle meniscus repairs. There was no difference in the re-operation rates at 2 years and 5 years and the VAS, IKDC and Tegner scores were similar both the groups of patients. Repairing radial tears results in satisfactory healing rates without serious complications and improvements in patient outcomes in the short-term. The sustenance of the results is not known over the long-term.

Lateral Meniscus Root Tears:
The lateral meniscus posterior root tear (LMPRT), especially those involving the MFL, cause complete dysfunction of the meniscus function. Transtibial repair has been shown to reduce peak contact pressure in the lateral compartment to near normal levels. The contact area also increases compared to a torn state, but is still lower than normal levels. The knee stability in an ACL reconstructed knee is improved significantly after a transtibial root repair on anterior loading and simulated pivot-shift. The ACL graft forces are also reduced close to the native state on anterior translational loading. Thus, a root repair is not
only protective of the cartilage in the lateral compartment but also shields the ACL graft from excessive forces.

A 2 mm braided suture tape has been shown to yield a higher maximum failure load compared to a No. 2 suture for root repairs. Due to its close proximity to the ACL tibial attachment, sutures through the root can be pulled down from the ACL tibial tunnel or the posterolateral tunnel in case of a double-bundle reconstruction. This technique has been found to be equivalent to an anatomic re-attachment and normalizes tibio-femoral contact pressures. An independent tunnel drilling at the anatomic root insertion is technically challenging but possible and is our preferred technique whenever possible (Figure 8). Some degree of tunnel coalition is common and it is safer to pull the root sutures after ACL graft passage, posterior to the graft. Forkel’s Type 2 tears can be repaired by side-to-side suture repair technique, much like the horizontal repair technique for radial tears.

The purpose of a LMPRT repair is to restore meniscus function and prevent lateral arthritis. A retrospective comparative study of 62 LMPRTs by Pan et al reported higher, but statistically insignificant IKDC and Lysholm scores in those who underwent a repair versus those that were not. However, after minimum 2 years follow-up, a higher rate of radiographic arthritis was seen in those whose LMPRT was not repaired. Shelbourne et al also reported that subjective outcomes after mean 10 years were not significantly different in patients whose LMPRT was not repaired compared to a control group with intact posterior roots. In this study also, patients with unrepaird LMPRTs had a significantly greater reduction in lateral joint space. In Anderson’s series of 24 patients, 22 lateral meniscus root repairs were functioning successfully after mean 58.6 months follow-up. Ahn et al also reported significant improvement in IKDC and Lysholm scores after all-inside side-to-side suture repair in all 25 patients at mean 18 months post-surgery. MRI scan showed that the sagittal extrusion had reduced significantly after the repair. Second-look arthroscopy in 9 patients found complete healing in 8 and incompletely healed but stable meniscus in one patient.

Figure 8: Lateral Meniscus Posterior Root Repair (Right Knee). (a) A complete lateral root tear with avulsion from the tibia (black arrow) and torn meniscofemoral ligament. (b) Transtibial tunnel at the anatomic root attachment and a suture loop passed for retrieving the root sutures. (c) High strength suture braided tape passed through the root. (d) Two tapes are passed which can provide secure reduction and fixation of the meniscus root. (e) A 2.4 mm drill-tip passing pin drilled at the ACL tibial footprint which is away from the root tunnel. (f) The posterior root is reduced by pulling the tapes through the tunnel (blue arrow). LFC- Lateral femoral condyle, LM- Lateral meniscus, LTC- Lateral tibial condyle.

Impact on Lateral Meniscus Surgery on Rehabilitation:

There is no consensus on the type of rehabilitation protocol in terms of weight bearing, bracing or range of motion (ROM) to be followed after a lateral meniscus repair. In fact, the rehabilitation is dependent of several factors like the tear type location, type, repair strength and patient profile. An earlier functional rehabilitation without any restrictions can be instituted after a partial meniscectomy. Similarly, small stable LM
repairs do not need limitations of weight bearing or ROM and they can rehabilitate in a similar manner as for an isolated ACLR. Early ROM and full weight bearing have not been proven to be detrimental to meniscal repairs in systematic reviews. However, certain LM repairs such as radial, bucket handle or root require protection in the early phase. A period of 3-4 weeks of protected bearing for these repairs is reasonable although not proven. Delay in return to work and sports is also expected in this scenario and the patient must be counselled regarding the same.

**Conclusion**

Lateral meniscus tears are common with acute ACL tears. The lateral meniscus aids in stabilizing the knee, especially during the pivot-shift maneuver. The lateral meniscus must be thoroughly inspected and probed in its entirety in every case of ACL instability, irrespective of the MRI findings. It is worthwhile to repair every lateral meniscus and especially radial, posterior root or large vertical tears.
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